

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** Deadline 4 Submission  
**Date:** 12 January 2021 17:59:02

---

PINS Ref: EA2 - EN010078

Dear Madam / Sir,

You may notice that my answer is along the same lines as the SEAS organisation, whose aims I share and in whose judgements I trust during this destructive, deliberately obfuscated and divisive process. They are my views also and freely given.

I would like to respond and take issue with ScottishPower Renewables [Submission of Oral Case Issue Specific Hearing 2 on 2nd and 3rd of December 2020: Onshore Siting, Design and Construction](#) in which they have argued that additional projects, widely perceived to be connecting to the Grid at Friston if this current Application is consented, should not be included in their Cumulative Impact Assessment.

I am calling on the Examining Authorities to uphold their pledge to take all additional projects into account by:

1. Asking ScottishPower Renewables to undertake a full Cumulative Impact Assessment of all known projects; and
2. Undertaking a rigorous examination of this Cumulative Impact Assessment

This should include not just the site of the additional substations but the landfall site and perhaps most importantly, the cable corridor. How many times will a 9 km cable corridor 60m+ wide be redug? [Appendix One of SASES Response to ISH2 Action Points](#) which looks at projects with actual or potential Grid Connections at Friston shows an ADDITIONAL 8 CABLE TRENCHES to be dug.

It must be emphasised that all the primary stakeholders in this Examination including The Rt Hon Thérèse Coffey MP, East Suffolk Council, Suffolk County Council, Aldeburgh Town Council, Natural England, SASES, SOS and SEAS believe that the effects of these projects and associated impacts should be fully considered within this Examination.

Confidence will be lost in the Examination should the Planning Inspectorate not bring SPR to account on this issue.

Kind regards

Antony Easton

---